

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/815,475 | 04/01/2004 | Barry L. Gregerson | AccuTech - Carrier Tape | 6366 |
| 26365 7590 04/10/2009 ANTHONY J. BOURGET | | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 81 | | | EWALD, MARIA VERONICA | |
| EAU CLAIRE, WI 54702-0081 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1791 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/10/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/815.475 GREGERSON ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MARIA VERONICA D. 1701 EWALD All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Maria Veronica Ewald (USPTO). (3)Michael Hess (Applicant's representative). (2) Anthony Bourget (Applicant's representative). (4)____. Date of Interview: 02 April 2009. c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 30. Identification of prior art discussed: Reference(s) of Atake and Bippus. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed claim language and structural distinctions between Applicant's invention and that of the prior art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| /Maria Veronica D Ewald/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791 | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) | Interview Summary | Paper No. 20090409 |